## -SUBJECT-

DISCUSSION OR PROPOSED 1986/87 GENERAL OPERATING BUDGET.
DISCUSSION OF CITY MANAGER'S COMPENSATION.

City Council Chamber
735 Eighth Street s~… Naples, Florida!

| -SUBJECT- |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Mayor Putzell called the meeting to order and presided as Chairman:

Present: Edwin J. Putzell, Jr. Mayor

Kim Anderson-McDonald William E. Barnett William F. Bledsoe Alden R. Crawford John T. Graver
Lyle S. Richardson Councilmen

Also Present:
Franklin C. Jones, City Manager Mark W. Wiltsie, Asst. City Manager
Tara A. Norman, Adm. Assistant
Norris C. Ijams, Fire Chief
Roger J. Barry, Community Dev. Director
David W. Rynders, City Attorney
Christopher L. Holley, Comm. Serv. Director
Chuck Curry, Naples Daily News
Harry C. Anderson
Called to order 5:05 p.m.

1. Discussion of proposed 1986/87 general operating budget.

Mayor Putzell reported that the City would receive approximately $\$ 89,000$ in federal revenue sharing funds which would be divided between fire, parks and recreation, and police activities.

Mr. Rynders explained that the City is required to discuss in a public forum how these moneys will be utilized to give the public an opportunity to provide input. This will be done at the special meeting later this evening.

Mr. Jones distributed documentation showing last year's budget figures and this year's proposed budget figures categorized by account code and identifying significant changes (Attachment \#1) In the general fund major increases are from salaries and workers' compensation as well as various maintenance and operation accounts such as $\$ 19,800$ in non-departmental for the proposed quality circle program and $\$ 6,000$ for a parking garage study. Ten thousand dollars is also included for an employee assistance program to train supervisors to identify employees with personal problems and provide a program of referral for professional services. This is the first time this program is being proposed and is modeled after the programs which the county and the hospital have undertaken with the David Lawrence Mental Health Center.

Under the 430 account in the Community Services Department the increase is made up of various items such as materials for the Parks \& Parkways Division to handle additional landscaping work. In the 520 account
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there are increases because of redesignation of expenditures from accounts used in prior years. There is a corresponding decrease in the former account, however.

In the overall Community Services Department budget the only decrease is in property management due to some additional developed properties being removed from the mowing list and the fact that this division's activities are being directed more to maintenance of city-owned properties handled via abatements.

Council then discussed the actual amount of additional funding which would be needed for that department over the previous year and Mr. Jones also pointed out that much of the increase was due to a more aggressive tree planting effort with accompanying maintenance needs.

It was noted that the increase in water and sewer expenditures was was represented by capital projects, increases in insurance premiums and now personnel for the expanded wastewater treatment plant. Mr. Jones pointed out his submission regarding new personnel (Attachment \#2).

Mayor Putzell mentioned keeping the number of new positions to 11 1/2 instead of the $121 / 2$ requested. Mr. Jones reviewed his analysis of the shared position requested in the City Manager's and City Attorney's Offices and the request for additional staffing in Community Development. He explained that while there was a lack of information at this point on which to base an estimate on how much work would be required by the City Attorney, he said he felt that his office would easily make up the difference. In Community Development, the additional position is needed to merely maintain the current level of service; later a plan will be submitted to the council to handle the data management needs outlined in prior budget meetings. This position was not requested to handle the data management function.

Mr. Jones said that if one new position were eliminated, he would reassess all new requested positions and, in addition, cautioned that later in the year he might find it necessary to return to the Council with a request to reinstate this position in order to maintain the City's level of service. Mayor Putzell said that through planning this position might not be needed and Mr. Crawford asked if the council told the City Manager that a certain number of positions had to be cut, whether the City Manager would be able to tell the Council what services would be affected. Mr. Jones replied that he would give the Council the most professional recommendation possible but that the budget brought to the council does not contemplate any sacrifice of services. Mayor Putzell said he was more concerned with the principle of adding personnel rather than the current cost estimate. Mr. Crawford said that there is always room for improvement in efficiency, however.


Mayor Putzell suggested that the Council agree on reduction of one position; Mr. Barnett suggested that the City Manager, however, come back to the Council if it is needed in the future. The surplus funds for this position would go to reserve.

## 2. Discussion of City Manager's compensation.

Mr. Jones stated that he felt in somewhat of an awkward position in recommending his own salary adjustment. He said he reviewed compensation adjustments for the City's senior department heads which averaged 7.1\%; in order to keep in line with this, he suggested an increase in his salary of $6.9 \%$ to $\$ 62,000$ annually.

In separate discussions with various Council members, he said he had also mentioned a formal agreement with reference to severance which is one that is being formalized in most of the newer contract arrangements for managers in this area. He therefore suggested a provision for three months' severance on either resignation or termination. He confirmed that he has no formal contract at this time so currently there is no severance benefit set forth. In the past, however, the Council has granted as much as six months; severance for a past City Manager who was terminated.

Mayor Putzell said that a Florida League of Cities survey indicated that three months was appropriate. Mr. Graver said he felt it important to address the issue of severance pay and Mr. Bledsoe said it should be at least three months. In response to Mr . Crawford, the City Manager stated that the non-bargaining staff is governed by a set of regulations; on termination, however, various arrangements have been worked out on a case-by-case basis. Mr. Bledsoe said contracts are not particularly appropriate in this instance. City Manager Jones also noted Charter provisions which address contracts and said he was not suggesting that one be drawn.

Mr. Graver said his only concern was that the cost of living should have some bearing on the Council's decision, not that he was unhappy with Mr. Jones' work. Mr. Bledsoe said Mr. Jones had done an outstanding job and his increase should be the same as department heads. Mr. Crawford said the issue of severance, however, should be looked at further before a decision is made. Mayor Putzell noted that the City Attorney and City Manager are in a different category from the rest of the employees and agreed that further discussion was desirable on the issue of severance.

Mr. Richardson noted the $3.5 \%$ annual adjustment granted non-bargaining unit employees, plus merit, and recommended a flat $5 \%$ increase for the City Manager. Mrs. Anderson-McDonald said she would be comfortabie with this but asked for further explanation of why the aforementioned $6.9 \%$ was requested.

VOTE


ACCOUNT
310

| Mayor/Council | 2,500 |
| :--- | ---: |
| Clerk | 500 |
| Fire | 400 |
| Non-Dept. | 19,800 |
| Parking | 6,000 |
| Personne1 | 10,000 |
|  |  |
| Police | 1,000 |

430

Comm. Services $\$ 22,390$
Engineering 4,200
Equip. Mgt.
Fire
Utilities-Adm.

520
Cormm. Dev.
Comm. Services
$\begin{array}{lr}\text { Engineering } & 7,662 \\ \text { Fire } & 9,210 \\ \text { Parking } & 240 \\ \text { Personnel } & 200 \\ \text { Police } & 9,838\end{array}$

COMMENTS

Public Relations

Quality Improvement Program
Parking Garage Study
Pre-Employment Physicals,
Psychological exams, credit chec Employee Assistance Program (\$8,00

Parkways-\$18,140, Pier-\$2050, Recreation- $\$ 2,000$. Tennis $-\$ 200$ Traffic-54,200-additional street Florida Power and Light

Pier- $\$ 10,000$
Parkways(Trees, Irrigation Suppli
Chemicals)- $\$ 21,09.6$, Tennis $-\$ 300$.
*Recreation- $\$ 14,500$, Prop. Mgt. $\$ 5$
*Items previous ly budgeted in $49 C$
in 520 .
Adm. $\$ 250$, Streets $-\$ 10,092$,
Traffic- $\$ 2,680$.
Operations- $\$ 8430$, Prevention- $\$ 78 C$
Adm. $\$ 1,268$, CID- $\$ 3,016$, Patrol-s
Services $-\$ 6,400$ (Uniform Maintenar
$\begin{array}{cr}\text { Community Development } \\ \text { Building } & 0.5\end{array}$
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Engineering Technician.

new wastewater treatment plant. Figures
Includes additional technicians to staff



| \｜1， <br> 1. | 月リリリ1 <br> 1315 Klis | 1FINTHFFI <br>  | HIrFFPINE | $5$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Polife： Fintrol | \＄1，092，4日1 | \＄1，274，184 | 1r．r\％ | Paorganizention includad tha oddition of twn 1 iquatamants to assist．in cov－ arago arud to frovida ineraased super－ $v i s o r y$ control，and a secretary to pro－ vide olarical support for sama． |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Polica: } \\ & \text { Sarvicas } \end{aligned}$ | \＄482，975 | \＄660， 308 | $37 \%$ | Transfor of patsormal from sdininistra－ tiva division． |
| Polifo <br> Total | \＄2，200， 382 | \＄2，419，780 | $7.4 \%$ |  |
| Solid Hensta： Commareisl | \＄606， 86.3 | \＄715，19\％ | 17．日： | Incrasses orm moinly due to sutioipatad incrassas in collity 1 sudfill tipping faes from 155 to $\$ 18$ par ton． |
| Solid Heste： Fasidatial | \＄510，093 | \＄509，096 | 19．4\％ | Incrassos gre miginly dies to anticipstad incrasaes in monntul landfill tipping fags from $\$ 15$ to $\$ 18$ por tor． |
| Solif Hosta： | \＄372，204 | \＄359，094 | 3．5\％ | Incressas arg mininles disa to articipstad incrassess in countel landfill tifpirg faas from $\$ 15$ to $\$ 18$ por tor． |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Solid llosta } \\ & \text { Tntgl } \end{aligned}$ | \＄1，483，160 |  | $13 \%$ |  |
| l．ltilitios ndm． | \＄885，654 | \＄935，605 | 12．5\％ | Raflacte inctoneges in insur biom ond watar main migterisls． |
| Hat or Qietribution | \＄584， 301 | \＄725，047 | $6.0 \%$ | Roflacts incrogege in mater ropeir ond watar main moter ials． |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Watar } \\ & \text { Froduction } \end{aligned}$ | \＄1，982， 311 | 42，060，624 | $3.9 \%$ | Inorasmen minly duca to inerasass in wator trostmont inhmiremas． |
| W．atomstor <br> Gollaction | \＄48r， 589 | \＄507，952 | 1． 27 ： | Inorama ganat ally tua to parsatinal costs． |
| last eirstar Treatment | \＄1，199， 5.6 | \＄1，293， 990 | 7．9\％ | Includes two adsitiongl porsitionm tor staff new wastenater trestment plant． |
| lutilitias rotal | \＄5，233，821 | \＄5，584，21日 | 6．6\％ |  |
| SPPANO TOTAL | \＄15，190，592 | 15，300， 912 | P． $3:$ |  |



